10/775,105 BEHLE ET AL.	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
All Participants: (1) Flemming Saether (2) Robert Katz. (3)		10/775,105	BEHLE ET AL.
All Participants: (1) Flemming Saether. (2) Robert Katz. (3)		Examiner	Art Unit
(2) Robert Katz. (3)		Flemming Saether	3677
Date of Interview: 10 November 2005 Time:	All Participants:	Status of Application	·
Date of Interview: 10 November 2005 Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Telephonic Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Katz agreed to the examiner's amendment which was to avoid method type language. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summery of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	(1) <u>Flemming Saether</u> .	(3)	
Type of Interview: Telephonic	(2) Robert Katz.	(4)	
Telephonic disconference description: Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: 10 November 2005	Time:	
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Katz agreed to the examiner's amendment which was to avoid method type language. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summery of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No 	plicant's representative)	
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Katz agreed to the examiner's amendment which was to avoid method type language. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summery of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Katz agreed to the examiner's amendment which was to avoid method type language. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Katz agreed to the examiner's amendment which was to avoid method type language. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Claims discussed:		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Katz agreed to the examiner's amendment which was to avoid method type language. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Prior art documents discussed:		
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			WAS DISCUSSED:
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part III.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	directly resulted in the allowance of the application ef the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	. The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representat	ve Signature – if appropriate)